Planning Peer Review Action Plan – Progress on Actions as at July 2015

	Some progress but action not completed	No
Key	Action not commenced/progressed yet.	No
	Action completed/achieved.	Yes

PLA	NNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS	
Action	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
hon	et a clear political narrative for the long term future of the borough stressing the need and importance of nes, jobs, infrastructure and locally generated income/grant. Develop a 'golden thread' linking this to key borate policy documents including the local plan.	
(a)	Prepare revised Council Plan that reflects the relationship between key strategies and setting out broad strategic policy objectives.	Yes
(b)	More active use of the Council's media and communications resources showcasing good work	Ongoing

	NNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
Cul	agreed by Cabinet 12 Hoveriber 2014	AOIIILVED
Exa	evelop a coherent strategy for investment and growth which recognises the key role that planning performs. mine opportunities for the release or reuse of land assets with partners to stimulate growth and economic elopment	
(a)	Strengthen the narrative and strategic context in the next Asset Management Strategy and Capital Strategy	Yes
3. D	evelop an interim planning policy statement (IPPS) as part of local plan preparation process	
(a)	Bring forward a report to Cabinet on this recommendation identifying the potential benefits and costs of such a proposal, including implications for the existing Local Plan timetable and the weight that such a statement could have in development management decisions	No
(b)	If proposal is agreed by Cabinet, report back (to next Cabinet) with a timetable for the preparation of such a Statement, identifying required consultation and resource implications	No
c)	Implement the decision of Cabinet	No
pric	e-examine resource allocations, especially in planning policy and enforcement to ensure that these match rities and needs. Switch or increase resources to match priorities including pump priming, partnering and ining performance agreements (PPAs).	
(a)	Consider whether within Planning Policy a fixed 4 year term Senior Planning Officer post should be created and recruited to rather than the agreed but currently unfilled Planning Policy Officer post (bringing Planning Policy team up to 4 – Business Manager, 2 Seniors and 1 Non-Senior Officer)	Yes

PLA	PLANNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS	
Acti	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
(b)	Review whether to either create a temporary post dedicated planning enforcement support officer or create, by other means additional capacity for planning officers to progress their enforcement workload	No
(c)	Explore idea of creating a premium or fast track service for development management – report to Cabinet	No
(d)	Engage with Staffordshire One Place initiative (inter authority trading of services)	Ongoing
(e)	Explore whether any scope to utilise SOTCC's planning enforcement services on a temporary basis	Yes
(f)	Consider whether to make an application for Neighbourhood Planning Grant, to provide additional resources to support potential Neighbourhood Development plans	Ongoing
(g)	Review of pre-application charges as part of fees and charges review	Yes
(h)	Process review to identify tasks that should no longer be undertaken	Yes
(i)	Reassess current targets in the 2014 Service Plan, seeking member views	Yes

PLA	NNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS	
Acti	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
(j)	Consider potential use again of consultants to address short term DM staffing issues, requiring report to EMT	No
(k)	Resolve issue of authority to enter into Planning Performance Agreements (PPAs) and explore, in appropriate cases, whether use of PPA could bring in additional income	Yes
	evelop systematic links between financial planning and local plan development / monitoring to help focus on ts and income in relation to non-national domestic rate, council tax and new homes bonus	
(a)	Review of information flow arrangements between Planning and Finance on projected completions, housing development trajectories	Yes
(b)	Include within review of decision reports structure, specific section on finance considerations and weight to be given to them	Yes
(c)	Training for Planning Committee and officers on local finance considerations as a material consideration in the determination of applications	Yes
(d)	Publication of guidance on status and weight to be accorded to local finance considerations in planning decisions	Yes
(e)	Council as a landowner engages fully in the Local Plan preparation process including the Call for Sites	Yes

	NNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS	4.011151/55
Actio	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
	stablish an informal pre planning briefing for members of the planning committee including a review of tegic planning group.	
(a)	Review remit, membership (widened to include all members of the Planning Committee) and business of Strategic Planning Consultative Group, involving the Group and bring report to Cabinet for decision	No
(b)	Introduce Proactive presentation of items by officers at Planning Committee when appropriate	Yes
(c)	Change frequency of planned Planning Committee from every 3 weeks to every 4 weeks, holding separate meetings where possible and required to deal with planning policy items	Yes
(d)	Decide whether to reschedule at same time, onto a four weekly cycle CAWP, SPCG, & Development Team meetings	Yes
wid	et up effective mandatory councillor training programme that is bespoke to meeting local needs. Develop er training programme for councillors and officers to be delivered jointly where ever possible focusing on roving understanding of respective roles and the need for effective engagement	
(a)	Maintaining current provision of induction training to any member of the Planning Committee prior to their first meeting, with attendance not permissible unless received	Yes
(b)	Continue to bring subject specific reports to the Planning committee – e.g. on the 5 year housing land supply issue, and on the results of evidence base gathering for the Joint Local Plan, but to a Committee meeting with no development content wherever possible, even if an additional meeting is required	Yes

Actions agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014 ACHIEVED		
ACUI	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 November 2014	ACHIEVED
(c)	Continue with feedback reports including on appeal and costs decisions and annual appeal performance reports to Planning Committee	Yes
(d)	Set up and deliver 6 training programme in 2014/15 involving delivery of two off the peg training sessions delivered by TRA or similar (to both Planning Committee and Non-Planning Committee members) and 4 bespoke sessions for Planning Committee members only delivered probably externally rather than in house	Yes
(e)	Arrange above out of hours training sessions, at a time when officers can also attend (early evening) with time off in lieu recompense, in order to provide local examples to add to external training input	Yes
(f)	Encourage members even more than is already done to take up conference, training and similar opportunities	Yes
(g)	Chair and Vice Chair to be sent on courses specific to that role	Yes
(h)	Remind members of Planning Committees' agreed policy that failure to attend 2/3 rd of training sessions means that they cannot then take part in decisions at Planning Committee	Yes
(i)	Putting on wider training sessions for non- planning committee members on probity, member officer relations, etc	No
	eview the guidance and protocols in relation to section 106(s) to seek to frontload the system and reach isions more quickly on major applications	

PLA	NNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS	
Actio	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
Rev	iewing Section 106 procedures	
(a)	Review local validation requirements to consider whether more applications should require to be accompanied by 'Heads of terms', or whether draft agreements should be required	No
(b)	Ensure that the validation requirements of submission of Heads of terms (of any likely Section 106 agreement) are applied in a consistent, but also not disproportionate manner	Yes
(c)	Renewed focus on clarity of instructions to Legal and completion of all sections of 'instructions to legal' memo	Yes
(d)	Confirmation of receipt of instructions to legal to be sent in all cases to Planning (client care memo)	Yes
(e)	Informing SCC where party to the agreement of the terms of the resolution of the Planning Committee immediately post Committee	Yes
(f)	Introduce officer check in Planning that prior instructions to Legal Services has been undertaken in all possible cases	Yes
(g)	Legal to Introduce early check procedure of instructions received	Yes

PLANNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS		
Action	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
(h)	Introduce Service Level agreement between Legal and Planning setting standards for response times to instructions/ requests for clarification	No
(i)	Planning Service to provide instructions and comments on drafts to legal, including where other departments involved	Yes
(j)	Resume monthly S106 meetings	Yes
(k)	Consideration to be given to use of external solicitors where Legal services do not have capacity to act upon instructions	Yes
Rea	ching decisions more quickly on Major applications	
(l)	Support officers in DM to stop doing validation on Major applications (because of the degree of judgement required)	Yes
(m)	DM Team leader and SPOs to start doing validation of Majors	Yes

PLA	PLANNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS		
Actio	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED	
(n)	Invite Education Authority to become part of the Council's Development Team	Yes	
(0)	Inviting other key consultees to enter into a Service Level Agreement, as already in place between the BC and the Highway Authority	No	
(p)	Project Management approach to Major developments	Yes	
(q)	Draft conditions to be prepared within 2 weeks of Committee in all cases and to be subject to consultation with developer	No	
9. R	e-examine the scheme of delegation to allow the Planning Committee to focus on major applications		
(a)	Review Scheme of delegation with particular reference to telecom apparatus, consultations by other authorities, historic building grant applications	Yes	
(b)	Review of public speaking arrangements, guillotine on late representations, site visit protocol and withdrawal of call in procedures	Yes	
(c)	Review of Planning Committee Members Protocol, seeking approval of the Planning Committee	No	

	NNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS	
Acti	ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
10.	Review the decision to suspend work on community infrastructure levy	
(a)	Seek external legal advice on the issue of pooling post April 2015 and revisit advice given to December 13 Cabinet that adoption of CIL charging Schedule could not proceed in light of decision to abandon Site Allocations & Policies Local Plan and proceed with new Local Plan	No
(b)	Bring report to Cabinet at earliest opportunity	No
foc	Undertake systematic review of the effectiveness of the service's methods of communication and access ussing on councillors, parish councils and service users	
(a)	Review decision to remove direct dial facility for DM staff (introduced as part of move towards support based triage system)	Yes
(b)	Depending on above reintroduce Direct Dial perhaps with number available only to members (and agents?)	Yes
(c)	Ensure all staff working @home have access to phone	Yes
(d)	Review current appointment based system and consider alternatives	Yes

NNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS	
ons agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014	ACHIEVED
Review use of Customer Service agents as front end of the planning service	Yes
Customer Service training for all planning staff	Yes
Review Delegated and Committee reports, particularly for effectiveness and use of Plain English – remembering who reports are for	Yes
Include officer details on adverts/weekly lists/consultation letters/emails	Yes
Statement of Community Involvement, review	Yes
Continue support for Parish council training/ attendance at parish/town council forum as recently undertaken	Yes
Programme of annual visits by Head of Planning, Development Management Manager and Planning Policy Manager to Parish and Town Councils	Yes
Offer to established clerks opportunity to visit Planning service (as already done for new parish clerks)	Ongoing
	Review use of Customer Service agents as front end of the planning service Customer Service training for all planning staff Review Delegated and Committee reports, particularly for effectiveness and use of Plain English – remembering who reports are for Include officer details on adverts/weekly lists/consultation letters/emails Statement of Community Involvement, review Continue support for Parish council training/ attendance at parish/town council forum as recently undertaken Programme of annual visits by Head of Planning, Development Management Manager and Planning Policy Manager to Parish and Town Councils

PLANNING REVIEW TEAM'S RECOMMENDATIONS		
Actions agreed by Cabinet 12 th November 2014		ACHIEVED
(m)	Improve DM office layout and make it clearer who is who for visitors	Yes
(n)	Encouraging members to meet officers in reception in more suitable setting	Yes
(0)	Renewed focus on labelling of documents on website	Yes
(p)	Publication of guide to Planning website and in particular Public Access aspect	Yes
(q)	6 month central monitoring of correspondence from Parish Councils	Yes
(r)	Review approach to customer satisfaction surveys	Yes